

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,926	04/01/2004	Mark A. Fredette	24.0808	2925
	7590 10/11/200 GER OILFIELD SERV		EXAMINER	
200 GILLINGE	HAM LANE		SCHINDLER, DAVID M	
MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND	O, TX 77478		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action A Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) FREDETTE ET AL.	
10/708,926		
Examiner	Art Unit	
David M. Schindler	2862	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponden	ce address
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWAI	NCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To averthis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed with time periods:	r evidence, which th 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final reject no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	al rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the all have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rej may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismiss a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a)	sal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 2. The presented amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief will not be an	stored because
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be en (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	lered because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	nlifuing the iccurs for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simple appeal; and/or	piliying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amen	dment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed an non-allowable claim(s). 	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ind an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-22,35-37 and 39-41.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appea because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evid was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	al will <u>not</u> be entered dence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appears showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41	ellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below of	or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for See Continuation Sheet.	allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: REENA	AURORA
PRIMARY TECHNOLOGY	EXAMINER CENTER 2800

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Mumby reference (U.S. Pat. 5,563,512) does not disclose a lateral resistivity sensor. Applicant states that typical examples of lateral resistivity sensors include electrodes and toroidal coils. To this the Examiner notes that these features are not claimed in independent claims 10 and 35. The Examiner is broadly interpreting the phrase "lateral resistivity sensor" to include a sensor that detects formations that are located laterally to the bore hole tool, and that can be used to find the resistivity of the formation. With regard to this note lines 19-39 of column 1, lines 62-67 of column 7, and lines 1-3 of column 8. Therefore, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.